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Introduction and background


Radiation is a hazard in all space activities but the great majority of radiation is at a low dose rate, and the concern is late effects from prolonged chronic exposure and not from acute exposures.

Acute or early radiation effects are induced by radiation doses above a threshold level that is determined by the tissue sensitivity, the radiation quality, dose rate, and total dose. The effects of high doses at high dose rates are due to radiation-induced cell loss, whereas, the less severe effects, such as nausea and vomiting, are caused by less well understood processes not involving cell loss. Missions in space beyond the magnetosphere may experience solar particle events (SPE) in which large bursts of particles, mainly protons of a wide range of energies, are emitted from the sun. In the large events the dose rate of the radiation may rise, and the total dose may exceed the threshold dose for acute or early effects. The type, severity, and time of occurrence of the acute effects are determined by the total dose.

Although the probability of acute effects is low, their impact, if they were to occur, would be significant (Hu et al. 2009). Therefore, an understanding of the risks of acute effects and how they can be prevented or limited is essential.
The aim of this report is to describe acute effects and countermeasures that should be considered in the design of spacecraft and planning missions. The paper complements Chapter 5 in Human Research Requirements Document (Wu et al. 2009).

**Space radiation environments**

For the purposes of description, the environment in space can be divided into two main environments separated by the geomagnetosphere. In Low-Earth Orbit (LEO) the environment consists of various energetic charged particles: electrons, protons, helium and heavier ions. There are three main categories of radiation: a) trapped particles, b) galactic cosmic rays (GCR), and c) solar particles. The trapped particles are electrons and protons trapped by the Earth’s magnetic field. GCR and the solar particles are predominately protons and a small contribution of helium and heavier ions (Badhwar 2000; Schimmerling 2000; NCRP-153 2006). External hazards of major concern, especially, on long duration flights beyond LEO, are galactic cosmic radiation (GCR) and sporadic, solar-particle events (SPEs). Earth-orbiting missions involve traversals of the Van Allen radiation belts that result in elevated exposures to energetic protons and electrons (NCRP-153 2006). For example, typical exposures on the International Space Station (ISS) are in the range of 0.5 to1.2 mSv per day with ~75% of the doses coming from GCR background, while the remainder come from energetic protons encountered during passage of the South Atlantic Anomaly regions of the Van Allen belts (NCRP-153, 2006). In addition to the external radiation hazards, a small contribution to the radiation hazard exposure may come from a variety of radiation sources that the spacecraft may carry (NCRP-153 2006).

At the exposure levels cited above, acute injuries are not of concern. Of concern, however, are the rarely occurring very large solar particle events that would expose the spacecraft, and in turn the astronauts, to large numbers of very energetic (> 10 MeV) protons in a relatively short period of time. Such large SPEs with fluxes of high energy protons in excess of 30 MeV and at densities of ~5x10^9 cm^-2 have been well documented; e.g., in November 1960, August 1972, and again in October 1989. Absorbed dose-rates as high as 1.4 Gy per hour have been estimated for missions beyond LEO for an event similar to the large event of August 1972 (Parsons and Townsend 2000). More recently, dosimetry of latter SPEs of August 1972, October 1989, along with the SPE of September 1989 have been reevaluated (Hu et al. 2009), with more conservative exposure rate estimates reported for specific organ systems of astronauts situated either within the aluminum-shielded (5 g cm^-2) spacecraft itself or exterior to the space vehicle during extravehicular activity (EVA). For IR-sensitive, blood-forming and gastro-intestinal tissues, these average estimates are as follows: a) within the spacecraft, 6.3 cGy-Eq per hour (1.93-12.3 cGy-Eq per hour) and 3.8 cGy-Eq per hour (1.1-7.7 cGy-Eq per hour), respectively; and b) during EVA, 11.9 cGy-Eq...
per hour (22.2-3.8 cGy-Eq per hour) and 6.1 cGy-Eq per hour (12.0-1.9 cGy-Eq per hour), respectively.

These SPE-related exposures pale when compared to still larger SPEs of the past, such as the so-called Carrington event that occurred approximately 150 years ago, with exposure estimates, based on nitrate analysis of ice core samples, of ~10 Gy to bodily surfaces and ~1 Gy to deep, internal organs (Stephens et al. 2005). The estimated probability of a large SPE event occurring during an extended space mission (e.g., ~2 yrs) beyond the LEO based on recent experience is approximately 0.1 (Feynman et al. 1993). Significantly lower probabilities for the occurrence of multiple, massive SPEs have been recently reported for space missions of comparably long durations (Kim et al. 2009).

**Exposure scenarios**

There is exposure to radiation on all missions in space and the shielding of the spacecraft and the mission are designed to ensure that the exposure of the astronauts is limited to a level that is appropriate considering the risk and benefit. Importantly these exposures are at a dose rate too low to cause acute effects. It is the high dose rates that may occur during very large SPEs that are of concern.

Astronauts on EVA are at potential risk if a SPE occurs and they cannot return to the space ship or shelter within a couple of hours because the space suit does not provide adequate shielding from the radiation as the dose rate increases. Although the occurrence of the SPE cannot be predicted, the rate at which the dose rate increases can be used to predict if it is likely that the dose rate will exceed the level required to cause acute effects. Consequently, astronauts will usually have time to return to safety.

A considerable fraction of the radiation of a SPE consists of low energy protons that can penetrate the space suit, skin and superficial organs such as the eye and testes but not deeper tissues such as bone marrow.

The probability of acute effects is highest in the tissues that are superficial and have radiosensitive cells, such as spermatogonia. The threshold dose temporary reduced fertility is about 15 cSv. The cells of the skin are not as radiosensitive as the spermatogonia, but are at risk because of the magnitude of the dose that they may that receive. The dose to the skin can be several times that of the deeper tissues, such as bone marrow, and a transient erythema may occur within hours after about 2 Gy, but the threshold for significant damage is considerably higher. Of particular concern is the onset of nausea and vomiting that characterize what is known as the prodromal syndrome discussed below. The most likely outcome is that the astronauts will be able to return to the spacecraft before they have received a dose sufficient to cause ARS and the only consequence is that their total lifetime radiation exposure will have been
increased.

**Description of the Acute Radiation Syndrome**

Acute Radiation Syndrome (ARS) is not a single disease entity, but rather a complex of interrelated pathologies brought about by intense and acute levels of ionizing radiation exposure (Figure 1) (Young 1987; Anno et al. 1989; Cerveny et al. 1989; Jarrett 1999; Hall 2000; Guskova et al. 2001; Singh and Seed 2003; Walselenko et al. 2004). ARS is a highly predictable clinical outcome of acute, sufficiently intense, whole-body or nearly whole-body, exposure. The full disease complex consists of three major organ system-specific sub-syndromes: (i) the hematopoietic system syndrome (HSS); (2) the gastrointestinal system syndrome (GIS); and (3) the cerebral, neurovascular system syndrome, commonly referred to as the central nervous system syndrome (CNS). Effects on other vital tissues, for example, lung and heart often accompany ARS, especially at the higher levels of exposure.

![Figure 1. Major clinical responses associated with ARS.](image)

Preceding ARS, even at doses of 0.5-1 Gy, an initial prodromal phase (Gerstner 1960, Lushbaugh 1974)) that consists of anorexia, nausea and vomiting may occur within 12 hours of exposure. The prodromal phase of ARS is attributed to IR-sensitive neural centers and communication tracts both within and between the stomach, respiratory tissue, and brain. The sensitive area within the brain lies within the medulla under the floor of the 4th ventricle in the area postrema (Wang...
and Borison 1952). Experimental ablation of the postrema abolishes IR-induced emesis in the dog, in non-human primates and in man (Gunter-Smith 1987).

There is insufficient data to estimate the threshold dose at the low dose rates of the radiation in the early hours of a SPE and at what level of the rate the effects increase. There is some evidence that at low dose rates the threshold dose may be about three times higher than at the dose rate of the background radiation.

In contrast to the stochastic nature of radiation-induced cancer, the main sub-syndromes of ARS are deterministic in nature. That is to say, all individuals exposed to doses above a threshold will suffer acute effects, the severity of which will depend on the exposure conditions. The clinical outcome of ARS will vary, from full recovery to death. Expression of the sub-syndromes is dependent on a) the magnitude and extent of bodily IR exposure, b) the dose rate and quality of radiation, and c) the time following exposure.

HSS is the most commonly observed major sub-syndrome occurring in the range of 0.5-8 Gy. This syndrome takes weeks to be fully expressed, with different survival outcomes. In the absence of medical treatments, responses range from full recovery with long-term survival at the lowest of IR doses to progressive failure of the hematopoietic system, with subsequent death within 15-60 days due to bleeding and infections after high doses.

GIS occurs more quickly than HSS, within days rather than weeks, but requires substantially higher doses (4 ~15 Gy). At lower IR doses (4~8 Gy), GIS often occurs simultaneously with HSS, and as a consequence, greatly complicates the clinical picture and reduces the prospect of survival. At still higher doses, (~10-20 Gy) and in the absence of appropriate therapeutic intervention, GIS is lethal within a week following exposure due to extreme diarrhea, water loss and electrolyte imbalances, and infections.

The ARS associated neurovascular/CNS responses are limited only to those individuals who have been exposed to extremely large doses, (>20-30 Gy) in, for example, a criticality accident. In such cases, there is no effective therapy and death occurs within hours to a day or so following exposure. Extreme weakness, loss of motor-control, disorientation, and convulsions characterize the clinical nature of the terminal neurovascular syndrome. Providing support, comfort and pain relief to these heavily exposed individuals are currently the only medical options available.

**Radiobiological and temporal constraints**

The dose-dependent and temporal patterns of expression of ARS-related syndromes as discussed above are shown in Figures 2 and 3 and Table 1.
The temporal patterns are influenced by the variation in susceptibility that influences the time of onset of symptoms, the severity and the threshold dose. In the case of HSS after exposure to 1-2 Gy up to 50% of those exposed will show in 2-4 weeks a moderate reduction in various types of blood cells. While GIS requires higher doses, it occurs earlier, within days. The CNS sub-syndrome occurs only after very large acute doses >20 Gy. It occurs in a matter of hours and results in an early death.

**Figure 2.** Radiation dose-dependent expression of major clinical responses.
Figure 3 Time-dependent expression of major clinical responses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IR level (Gy)</th>
<th>ARS Sub-syndrome</th>
<th>Incidence (%)</th>
<th>Prodrome latency (min-hrs-d)</th>
<th>Peak/critical response period (hrs-wks)</th>
<th>Clinical characteristics</th>
<th>Est. Performance Degradation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td>Hematopoietic</td>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>&gt;3hrs</td>
<td>2-4 wks</td>
<td>Very mild blood cytopenias, with no other major clinical responses</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>Hematopoietic</td>
<td>0-50</td>
<td>&gt;3hrs</td>
<td>2-4 wks</td>
<td>Mild/moderate cytopenias-lymphopenia/ granulocytopenia/ thrombocytopenia. Mildly elevated risk of infection &amp; uncontrolled bleeding.</td>
<td>Minimal/transient- due to nausea w. possible vomiting, &amp; minimal fatigue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>Hematopoietic</td>
<td>50-90</td>
<td>1-2hrs</td>
<td>2-6 wks</td>
<td>Severe cytopenias-lymphopenia/ granulocytopenia/ thrombocytopenia. Moderate/marked increased susceptibility to infection and uncontrolled bleeding. Mild/moderate GI disturbances- diarrhea</td>
<td>Moderate/transient- due to moderate nausea w. vomiting &amp; moderate fatigue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>Hematopoietic/Gastrointestinal</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.5-2 hrs</td>
<td>3d – 6 wks</td>
<td>Very severe cytopenias-lymphopenia/ granulocytopenia/ thrombocytopenia. Marked increased susceptibility of infections and uncontrolled bleeding. Moderate-severe GI disturbances- diarrhea</td>
<td>Moderate-severe/extended- due to nausea, vomiting, w. moderate-significant fatigue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-20</td>
<td>Gastrointestinal/pulmonary/cardiovascular/</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.5-1 hrs</td>
<td>3 – 14 d</td>
<td>Severe early cytopenias-lymphopenia/ granulocytopenia/ thrombocytopenia. Marked increased susceptibility of infection and uncontrolled bleeding. Severe GI disturbances- diarrhea</td>
<td>Severe/extended incapacitation - due to nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, significant &amp; moderate fatigue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;20</td>
<td>Neurovascular/central nervous system</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&lt;30 min</td>
<td>1 – 48 hrs</td>
<td>Severe prodromal responses- nausea, vomiting, diarrhea. Rapidly developing, severe lymphopenia. Respiratory distress/hypotensive/dizziness. CNS responses- disorientation, convolutions, loss of consciousness, coma.</td>
<td>Severe/extended incapacitation - due to marked prodromal responses</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Major early acute effects of ionizing radiation exposure.
Health consequences: In terms of ARS, these IR exposure levels are at the lower end of the ‘ARS induction curve,’ producing only a short-lived prodrome of mild nausea with the possibility of vomiting, some fatigue and weakness in ~5% or less of the more radiosensitive astronauts. The onset of the prodrome would be delayed by several hours due to the protracted nature of these relatively low dose/dose-rate type exposures. By contrast, virtually all of the exposed astronauts will experience a reduction in the levels of lymphocytes, indicating a degree of suppression of the body’s lymphohematopoietic system as a consequence of IR-exposure. At these relatively low IR doses, lymphopenia would be the only significant blood cytopenia that would likely manifest clinically: the cytopenia would present early, starting 1-3 days post-exposure, and would last for weeks, prior to recovery of blood cell counts. By contrast, clinically significant granulocytopenia and thrombocytopenia would not likely occur at these low IR-exposure levels, and therefore, would not be subject to elevated risks of infection, sepsis, and/or various hemorrhagic syndromes that are normally associated with the more severe cytopenias induced at much higher IR exposure levels. At these relatively low exposure levels, ARS, if it occurred at all, would be of minimal severity and not life-threatening in nature; the probability of IR-induced acute lethality being < 1%.

Countermeasures: Two basic strategies of countermeasures might be considered: a) minimizing the exposure by reducing extravehicular mission time and returning to the maximally shielded zones within the spacecraft; and b) applying safe and effective pharmacologics in order to prevent and minimize the radiation effects. Such antiemetics could quench the major prodromal responses of nausea and vomiting; and possibly, prophylactic doses of broad-spectrum antibiotics would be useful in the unlikely situation of a significantly severe granulocytopenia. By contrast, administration of recombinant growth factors or cytokines would probably not be recommended, as the level of IR-induced marrow injury would be sufficiently small, allowing for endogenous repair and recovery to occur in the absence of any additional therapeutic intervention(s).

Pathogenesis

General features: ARS is a disease complex that is driven by aberrant responses of several vital, radiosensitive organ systems of the body following sufficiently intense ionizing irradiation. The first two of these major organ systems at risk, namely the hematopoietic and gastrointestinal systems, are hierarchically structured in such a way as to produce vast numbers of essential, fully differentiated and functional end cells from an extremely small number of
primitive, undifferentiated and self-renewing stem cells and progenitorial daughters that have committed to given differentiative tracks specific for these tissues. Because of the generally short life span of functional end cells, a continuous stream of replacement cells is needed. Any imbalance in the production stream, either in terms of reproduction, maturation, and loss, has the potential to impact critically on the number of circulating blood cells. Both acute and chronic exposures not only to radiation but also to a wide variety of physicochemical toxicants have the capacity to induce such imbalances.

Major stages: The prodromal phase of ARS, of nausea, vomiting, and anorexia, is attributed to IR-sensitive neural centers and communication tracts both within and between the stomach, respiratory tissue, and brain. The sensitive area within the brain lies within the medulla under the floor of the 4th ventricle in the area postrema (Wang and Borison 1952). Experimental ablation of the postrema abolishes IR-induced emesis in the dog, in non-human primates, and in man (Gunter-Smith 1987). In terms of manifest ARS, there are three major clinical responses of interest and of concern: hematopoietic syndrome (HSS), gastrointestinal syndrome (GIS), and the neurovascular syndrome that encompasses both the cardiovascular syndrome and the central nervous system (CNS) syndrome.

Bone marrow- a major, early responding tissue to acute IR exposure

Bone marrow: A high production blood cell factory

Figure 4. Schematic of types of blood cells produced in the bone marrow.

The hematopoietic syndrome (HSS) occurs as a direct consequence of IR-associated depletion of critically important blood cell pools (called cytopenias)
essential for a variety of vital bodily functions; e.g., tissue oxygenation by blood erythrocytes; innate immune defense against microbial agents by blood granulocytes and monocytes; acquired immune functions by select classes of blood lymphocytes; and bleeding control and wound healing processes by blood platelets (thrombocytes). If the IR exposure is sufficiently intense and vital blood cell pools are depleted below critical threshold levels essential for these vital functions, then the affected individual becomes at risk for developing life-threatening pathological sequelae associated with ARS (e.g., septicemias, uncontrolled bleeding, anoxia-mediated organ failure, etc.). To maintain a steady state, the hematopoietic system must produce daily some ~1.5×10^10 functional blood cells per kg of body weight of the average individual (Figure 4). This blood cell production occurs in bone-encapsulated marrow, comprised of ~10^{12} cells in functionally active marrow, of which ~10^8 cells (or ~0.008%) are core stem cells, comprised of both long-term and short-term marrow repopulating stems cells (Lt-HSCs / St-HSCs).

Sufficiently intense IR exposure can impact circulating blood cell levels in multiple ways (see text below), especially by causing cytopenia by a restriction of the reproduction of marrow stem cells and early progenitors. Ionizing radiation can limit self-renewal of these cells by either cell killing, or indirectly by fostering commitment to differentiation over renewal of stem cells.

The general temporal pattern of bone marrow depletion following acute, high-dose IR exposures is shown in Figure 5 (Fliedner et al. 1956); while in Figure 6, the hematological consequences of severe granulocytopenia and thrombocytopenia after acute IR exposures are illustrated in terms of blood responses of the acutely IR-exposed Chernobyl fire fighters (Guskova et al. 2001).
**Bone marrow - an early responding tissue to acute IR**

Temporal changes in marrow cellularity following acute irradiation

1 Reference: Fliedner et al. Strahlentherapie 101: 308-417, 1956

Figure 5. Schematic illustrating the time-dependent changes in bone marrow following acute irradiation.

**Early Responding tissue: Blood-forming system**


Figure 6. Temporal patterns of IR-dependent blood responses -- major cytopenias of concern.

Under steady state conditions, blood cell pools are generally maintained in excess of what is required to maintain critical functions; however, in the case of
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intense IR exposure, blood cell pools are selectively-- and dose-dependently--
depleted by a number of processes. The major processes include: a) excessive
cell loss, due to promotion of cell senescence, vascular margination due to tissue
damage, with or without tissue sequestration; and b) markedly reduced influx of
new cells produced within lymphohematopoietic tissues and released into the
circulation. Intense IR is effective in disrupting this cell production via a number
of well documented processes; for example, by increasing transit times across
amplifying and maturing compartments, but most strikingly by direct killing of the
more rare, vital, and radiosensitive progenitor cells in the system.

Considering the very radiosensitive nature of the progenitor cells in the marrow,
with rather low capacity for handling potentially lethal damage, reflected by mean
Do values in the range of ~1 Gy, coupled with minimal sub-lethal damage repair
capacity, as suggested by Dq values of <0.1-0.15 Gy, it is quite understandable
that the HSS component of ARS is elicited at relatively low dose levels especially
in more radiosensitive individuals. Therefore, without the benefit of having the
requisite population of progenitors with the potential of self-renewal and
differentiation, the production of functional blood cells soon becomes limited and
ultimately fails to produce enough cells needed to replenish cells lost daily.

The gastrointestinal syndrome (GIS) shares several prominent, common
pathological processes with the HSS component of ARS described above. Like
the HSS, IR elicits its damage by depleting vital cell compartments via direct and
indirect mechanisms. Unlike the hematopoietic system, however, the progenitor
cells are compartmentalized deep within discrete crypts, producing generations
of differentiating and maturing daughters that move upward to replace the cells of
the villi. This contrasts with hematopoietic tissue with its more randomly
positioned niches of progenitors and surrounding patches of differentiating and
maturing lineage-specific cells. Under steady state conditions, and within a 3-5
day life-cycle, epithelial cells are produced in the intestinal crypts, differentiate,
mature, and migrate upward toward the tips of the villi where they are shed into
the lumen of the intestine (Gunter-Smith 1987). The fully mature epithelial cells
covering the intestinal villi, apart from their role in absorption, serve an essential
role, namely, to provide a tight, physical barrier that cordon off the external
milieu of the gut from the internal tissue spaces. This cell barrier serves as an
essential component of the individual’s innate immune defense, and damage to
its integrity poses a significant health hazard, especially from infections and
septicemia (Gunter-Smith 1987; Gunter-Smith and Dubois 1996; Cerveny et al.

In the GIS of ARS, intense IR disrupts the life cycle of the cells of the intestinal
epithelium by directly killing or restricting differentiation of epithelial progenitors
within the crypts that may lead to severe loss of the cells lining the villi. Also, the
restriction of the maturation and migration of villus epithelia, while fostering
premature aging and senescence, also contributes to the damage (Potten et al.
2001; Hall 2000; Matsuzawa and Tsubouchi 1969). Despite the latter, the
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temporal pattern and the range of IR doses required to fully manifest GIS are related to the basic radiobiological characteristics of the crypt stem cells, which help to explain the differences in the temporal pattern of this syndrome and the level of dose required to cause it. The crypt stem cells are somewhat less sensitive than hematopoietic stem cells and appear to have a greater repair capacity as indicated by a Do of 1-2 Gy and a Dq of 3-5 Gy (Withers et al. 1970; Thames et al. 1981; Matsuzawa and Tsubouchi 1969; Cai et al. 1997). A pathological consequence of the cellular damage is that the normally impenetrable villus epithelial layer becomes leaky and less efficient in nutrient absorption. There is also a component of damage to the submucosal vasculature that leads to loss of electrolytes and the loss of the cell barrier with increased risk of bacterial invasion that could result in potentially fatal septicemia.

Pathogenesis of the neurovascular/CNS syndrome is the least understood of the major clinical responses that comprise ARS. This is due to its rarity and the lack of clinical subjects to evaluate, as well as to the minimal amount of experimental work done to date in characterizing and elucidating basic pathologic mechanisms. Extremely high IR doses >20Gy are required to elicit the syndrome, which has a short latent period of < 1-2 days and a uniformly lethal outcome. The major signs and symptoms that characterize the syndrome include weakness, disorientation, loss of coordination and motor control, respiratory problems, convulsions, and terminal coma. A terminal, cardiovascular shock syndrome has been described as well (Lushbaugh 1969). It was considered that this resulted from a massive loss of electrolytes and plasma by leakage into the tissues that causes increased intracranial pressure, cerebral anoxia with death within two days.

Figure 7. Structural changes in GI tissues three days following escalating doses of acute irradiation.
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Figure 8. Schematic listing of major cellular responses of GI tissues following acute irradiation.

Countermeasures for ARS

The countermeasure strategies for acute, IR-related injuries during space travel are basically the same as those that would be used for terrestrial IR exposures (Singh and Seed, 2003; Seed 2005). In general, these strategies encompass adherence to basic principles of radiation protection such as minimizing the exposure and maximizing shielding. The degree of physical shielding is largely dependent on the structure of the spacecraft, but positioning of the astronauts within the spacecraft can provide some additional shielding. Of course, the most rapid return from an EVA or to shelter in the case of a lunar mission is imperative. Radiation monitoring will indicate the need for additional action such as the administration of anti-emetics, anti-diarrheals, antibiotics, fluids and electrolytes.
The daily use of anti-emetics (e.g., Kytril®/granisetron; Zolfran®/odansetron) throughout periods of high SPE risk would represent a simple, highly effective and safe way to prevent or limit acute IR-associated nausea and vomiting, in turn limiting IR-associated physical/cognitive performance degradations. Despite the proven effectiveness of these anti-emetic pharmacologics, they only serve to mask the root injury. Their benefit would be limited to the prevention of vomiting, which would be extremely important to an astronaut wearing an EVA space suit.

In principle, effective prophylaxis and therapeutic options do exist for both limiting and managing ARS. However, in practice, only a few of these options have been actually approved by regulatory authorities for medical use under such conditions. Nevertheless, for the sake of discussion and information, the following prophylactic agents are listed. First, the phosphoroaminothioate agent, Amifostine (Ethyol®) is a well-documented, clearly effective, FDA-approved radioprotective pharmacologic that is currently being used to mitigate normal tissue damage that might occur as a consequence of radiotherapy for cancer. However, due to the drug’s pronounced hypotensive and emetic side effects, it has not been approved for the prevention of non-medical types of accidental exposures. Second, the use of a variety of ROS-quenching agents, such as select tocopherol compounds might be of some benefit in limiting the extent of IR-injury. Although these agents are clearly less effective than amifostine/Ethyol® or other types of phosphorothioates, they currently lack regulatory constraints for this type of use. If early signs and symptoms of ARS...
were to manifest in space, basic elements of standard clinical protocols developed specifically for terrestrial-based settings (Walselenko et al. 2004) could be applied, and with few exceptions, with little difficulty. Basic clinical support would be essential, including administration of fluids and electrolytes, plus a spectrum of antimicrobials (Brook et al. 2002). For the hematopoietic component of ARS, the administration of the newer, recombinant hematopoietic growth factors (GFs) such as G-CSF (Neupogen®) could prove to be life-saving in terms of enhancing the recovery of granulocytopoiesis within the bone marrow and in addressing the dangerous decrease in the levels of blood granulocytes influencing immune defense. The use of other specific GFs might be considered; e.g., Epo and Tpo for restoration of erythropoiesis and megakaryocytopoiesis, in severe anemia and thrombocytopenia, respectively.

The GF/cytokine-therapy is only appropriate if the IR exposure is not >8 Gy: GF therapy loses its effectiveness at extremely high IR exposures, due to the massive loss of marrow stem cells, the primary cellular targets for the recombinant GFs. Since the likelihood of such acute IR doses is remote, provision for such therapy could be included in contingency planning. However for such therapy to be effective, infusion of hematopoietic stem cells would be required. Such treatments are not trivial medical procedures, but would perhaps be essential in order to save the life of a markedly overexposed astronaut. Preferably, the stem cell transplant would be autologous in nature, making use of banked, onboard, cryopreserved HSCs that had been initially harvested from the astronauts during the preflight medical evaluation period just for such critical life threatening contingencies.

GIS starts to manifest following acute IR exposures of ~4 Gy, dose levels that are unlikely to occur, but if they did occur, anti-emetic and anti-diarrheal medicinals would be required in addition to nutritional, fluid and electrolyte supplements. Several new classes of agents, specifically designed to either prevent or to mitigate select stages of GIS, are currently under test and development. These include novel ROS scavengers and nutritional-based antioxidants; e.g., gamma-tocotrienol (Berbee et al. 2009). The established phosphorothioate-type radioprotectors need to be considered as well as selective inhibitors of IR-induced enterocyte/enterocyte progenitor depletion; e.g., the anti-apoptotic toll-like receptor 5 agonist-CBLB502 (Burdelya et al. 2008); recombinant GF-TGFβ (Booth and Potten 2001); recombinant enterocyte growth stimulators-rKGF, rIL11, SCF, etc. (Farrell et al. 2002; Booth and Potten 2001); mitigators of mucosal barrier breakdown-prostaglandin analogs, effective in blocking gastric hemorrhage (Jarrett 1996); mitigators of mucositis-recombinant KGF; (Farrell et al. 2002); sulfasalazine, a 5-aminosalicylates; octreotide, asomatostatin (Stone et al. 2004); anti-diarrheals; e.g., soluble fiber nutrient supplements; octreotide; loperamide, opioid agonist (Muehlbauer et al. 2009; Zimmerer et al. 2008; Yeoh et al. 1993); and agents effective in either blocking bacterial translocation or minimizing subsequent infectious complications; e.g., antibiotics, probiotics; (Brook et al. 2004; Isolauri et al. 2002).
At the extreme IR exposure levels that elicit CNS and related neurovascular syndromes, no preventive or treatment options currently exist other than the provision of comfort and support, along with relief of pain and sedation.

Summary and conclusions

Major clinical features of ARS and its temporal and radiological constraints:
• The acute radiation syndrome, or ARS, preceded by a prodromal phase and a latent period, is an IR-associated disease complex, composed of three major, distinct clinical syndromes: hematopoietic syndrome (HSS), gastrointestinal syndrome (GIS), and neurovascular syndrome, or more commonly, the central nervous system syndrome (CNS).
• Expression of these syndromes is dependent on: a) the magnitude and extent of bodily IR exposure, b) the acuteness of exposure, and c) the time following exposure. The HSS clinical response is the most common component of ARS observed: it occurs at the lowest range of IR doses (e.g., 0.5-10 Gy), takes weeks to fully express and is variably linked to dramatically different survival outcomes. Survival outcomes are linked to the two major clinical concerns associated with HSS presentation, namely a) systemic microbial infections; and b) uncontrolled bleeding.
• GIS is observed less frequently than HSS because it is caused by a substantially higher range of doses (~4->15 Gy), but it is more quickly expressed, within 4-6 days. At the lower IR doses, GIS often occurs concurrently with HSS, and as a consequence, greatly complicates the clinical picture. At higher IR doses in the absence of appropriate therapeutic intervention, GIS is rapidly fatal within a week of IR exposure due to extreme diarrhea, water loss, electrolyte imbalances, and infections.
• The neurovascular/CNS component of ARS is rarely observed and limited to those extremely over exposed individuals (IR doses >20Gy). The onset of neurovascular sub-syndrome is exceedingly rapid, minutes to hours and characterized clinically by weakness, respiratory distress, loss of motor-control, disorientation, convulsions, and coma. CNS is uniformly fatal due to precipitating cerebral vascular hemorrhage and edema, and currently, has no known effective therapy.

Space radiation environments and associated exposure scenarios of major concern:
• Periodic solar particle events and a chronic flux of galactic particles represent the two major, external IR sources of concern within the space environment. Acute effects are a concern from exposure during large solar events. These events are poorly predictable in terms of magnitude, duration, and time of occurrence. Dose-rates and total doses associated with the rather constant flux of GCR are considered too small to cause acute effects. The high densities of
highly energetic protons emanating from the larger solar events could yield doses with the potential to cause the prodromal stage and possibly the HSS component of ARS. The probability of having IR exposures sufficiently high to elicit GIS is unlikely, and the even more extreme case of CNS is improbable.

- The estimated probability of an extremely large solar event containing >30 MeV fluence of ~5x10^9 protons per cm^-2 with ARS-yielding potential occurring over the span of a 2-yr deep space mission is approximately 10%. The probability of multiple, massive SPEs occurring during extended space missions is directly related to mission duration, but is significantly less. The estimates of risk require better estimates of the effect of dose rate on the incidence and severity of the effects in the prodromal phase during SPEs.

**Pathogenesis:**

- The major IR-associated prodrome of ARS, namely the complex of nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea, is attributed to IR-sensitive neural centers and communication tracts both within and between the stomach, respiratory tissue, and brain.

- Several 5-HT3 receptor antagonist pharmacologies (e.g., granisetron/Kytril® or ondansetron/Zofran®) are effective in limiting/blocking IR-associated emesis.

- HSS/ARS manifests as a direct consequence of IR-associated depletion of critically important blood cell pools (so called blood cytopenias) essential for a variety of vital bodily functions, erythrocytes for tissue oxygenation, granulocytes and monocytes for innate immune defense against microbial agents, select subsets of lymphocytes for acquired immune functions, and blood platelets (thrombocytes) for the control of bleeding and wound-healing processes.

- With sufficiently intense IR, vital blood cell pools are depleted below critical threshold levels and the exposed individual becomes ‘at risk’ to develop life-threatening pathological sequelae associated with ARS such as septicemias, uncontrolled bleeding, and anoxia-mediated organ failure.

- Under steady state conditions, blood cell pools are maintained in excess of what is required to maintain critical functions. IR-induced selective and dose dependent damage to the blood cell system depletes by a number of processes:
  - i) excessive cell loss due to promotion of cell senescence, ii) vascular margination due to tissue damage, with/without tissue sequestration; and iii) markedly reduced influx of new cells produced by lymphohematopoietic tissues.

- Sufficiently intense IR is effective in disrupting or limiting cell production by lymphohematopoietic tissues, by well documented processes i) extending transit times across amplifying and maturing compartments and by ii) direct killing of progenitors. HSS is elicited at relatively low levels of IR due to the highly radiosensitive nature of major progenitor cell compartments within hematopoietic tissues.

- GIS shares several prominent, common pathological processes with HSS. For example, IR exerts damage by depleting, by direct and indirect mechanisms, vital cell compartments of the GI tissue’s self-renewing, amplifying, and differentiating cell-producing system.
• Mature epithelia covering intestinal villi serve an essential and vital role -- to provide a tight physical barrier between the external milieu of the gut and the intestacies of intestinal tissues. In addition to its role in absorption, the epithelial cell barrier serves as an essential role in innate immune defense. Any damage to the integrity of the barrier poses a significant predisposing health hazard, especially in terms of infections.

• GIS is caused by intense IR mainly by cell killing or restricting differentiation of epithelial progenitors within the crypts, but also by restricting maturation and migration of villus epithelia, while fostering premature aging and senescence. As a consequence, the normally tight and impenetrable villus epithelial layer becomes loose and 'leaky' and susceptible to invasion and colonization by select microbial species within the normally benign gut microflora.

• Neurovascular CNS is the rarest and least understood of the major ARS sub-syndromes in terms of its pathogenesis. The sub-syndrome is unique in terms of the extremely high IR doses (>20Gy and up) required for induction, its extremely short latency, and its uniformly lethal nature.

• The signs and symptoms that characterize neurovascular/CNS include weakness, disorientation, loss of coordination and motor control, respiratory problems, convulsions, and terminal comas, and are commonly attributed to cerebral hemorrhage and edema.

• No effective treatment options currently exist for subjects suffering from neurovascular/CNS. Providing comfort, pain relief, and possibly sedation are the only medical options currently available.

**Countermeasures:**

• Two major strategies exist for countering space IR-associated acute injury: i) physical measures and ii) medical interventions. A guiding principle of effective radio hygiene--in deep space or on earth--is ‘exposure avoidance.’ Sheltering within shielded zones within the spacecraft is a practical option.

• Pharmacologic intervention is a further option to counter IR-associated health effects. Major prodromal responses of ARS--nausea and vomiting--can be effectively prevented or minimized by daily administration of one of several anti-emetics, e.g., Kytril® or Zofran®.

• Effective prophylaxis and therapeutic options exist for both limiting and managing manifest ARS. [Note- these ‘medical options’ might be limited due to current regulatory constraints]. In terms of prophylaxis, the phosphorothioate agent, amifostine (Ethyol®) might be considered, as the drug is highly effective, but short-acting, and has substantial, potential side-effects of concern (i.e., hypotensive and emetic). Other less effective and better tolerated, but without regulatory limits or restrictions, might include a variety of neutraceuticals that are strongly antioxidative and free radical quenching.

• In the event of manifest ARS, basic elements of standard clinical protocols developed specifically for terrestrial-based settings could be applied. Basic clinical support would be essential, including administration of fluids, plus broad spectrum antibiotics.
To manage HSS, the administration of the newer, recombinant hematopoietic growth factors (rGFs), such as G-CSF (Neupogen®) could be used to supplement basic clinical support procedures.

The GF/cytokine-therapy option is only be effective if IR exposures are not extremely high (> 8-10 Gy). Following extremely high IR exposures, in-flight autologous stem cell infusions might be the only viable treatment option, and in turn, hope for long-term survival.

GIS manifests at higher IR exposures, requiring GI-specific medicinals--antidiarrheals, nutritionals, fluids, and electrolyte supplementations.

At extreme, but highly unlikely IR exposures in space that would elicit CNS and related neurovascular syndromes, no effective preventive or treatment options currently exist, other than simply providing comfort and support, along with administered pharamacologic to relieve pain and to sedate.
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